5.—Total Areas and Values of Field Crops in Canada, 1916-21.

AREAS.

				- i		
Provinces.	1916.	1917.	1918.	1919.	1920.	1921.
	acres.	acres.	acres.	acres.	acres.	acres.
Canada	38,930,333	42,602,288	51,427,190	53,049,640	52,830,865	59,635,34
P. E. Island	485,910	491,210	488,180	526.628		552,18
Nova Scotia	746,580	752,980	910,387	1,011,144		807,85
New Brunswick	889,220 4,590,200	888,125 5,778,139	1,188,200 8,201,362	1,335,118	1,253,834	1,171,30
QuehecOntario	7,637,500	8,233,500	10,000,063	7,973,021 9,915,884	7,905,987 10,108,272	8,051,98
Manitoba	5.030.960		6.325.150	6,344,318		10,075,07 7,421,78
Saskatchewan	13,850,769	14,678,042	16,332,872	17,430,554	17.347.901	21,774.48
Alberta	5,409,544	6,692,616		8,170,971	8,389,521	9,417,87
British Columbia	289,650	250.016	241.585	342,002		362,79

VALUES.

	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
CanadaP. E. Island	886,494,900 14,124,100		1,372,935,970 16,277,800			931,863,670 14,202,970
Nova Scotia New Brunswick	22,924,200	24,404,200	42,891,270	53, 134, 400	46,357,300	38,325,400
QuebecOntario	190,646,000	251,095,100	384.013,900	383.573,900	375,746,900	239,627,400
ManitobaSaskatchewan	292,773,900	349,488,200		340,029,800	271,213,000	215,635,000
Alberta British Columbia			113,072,700 17,547,600		204,291,500 27,017,500	

Comparative Value of Field Crops, 1920 and 1921.— In Table 6, the field crops of Canada for the year 1921 are compared with those of 1920 in respect of quantity and value. For the whole of the field crops the value in 1921 is less than in 1920 by \$443.413.000: that is to say, if the prices of 1920 had ruled the same as in 1921, the value of the field crops would have been \$1,375,276,000, instead of only \$931,863,000. The total decrease of \$523,381,000 is due chiefly to the decrease in prices amounting to \$443,413,000, but also to the fact that total quantities, principally in respect of oats, potatoes, roots and hay and clover, are smaller, representing the value of \$79,968,000. Rye, corn and alfalfa are the only crops showing an increase in total value, and this is due to larger yields. In the case of rye the reduction in value of \$13,227,000 is offset by the increase in production, amounting to \$13,540,000; so that the net difference is a small plus one of \$313,000. For grain hay in Alberta there was no record in 1920; so that although the amount of \$11,336,000 appears as an increase over 1920, this may not be so in reality. the rest of the crops show a large decrease in value owing to the great fall in prices. For spring wheat the decrease due to lower prices, viz. \$230,460,000, is offset by an increase in quantity representing \$66,743,000. Of the decrease in the value of oats, viz. \$133,720,000, the sum of \$78,570,000 is due to lower prices and \$55,150,000 to smaller quantities, 1920, giving, it will be remembered, a bumper oat crop.